1	Introduced by House Committee on Natural Resources, Fish, and Wildlife
2	Referred to Committee on
3	Date:
4	Subject: Taxation; use value appraisal; wildlands
5	Statement of purpose of bill as introduced: This bill proposes to authorize
6	enrollment of conserved natural forestland in the use value appraisal program
7	as a new type of managed forestland.
8 9	An act relating to eligibility of conserved natural forestland for enrollment in the use value appraisal program
10	It is hereby enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Vermont:
11	Sec. 1. 32 V.S.A chapter 124 is amended to read:
12	CHAPTER 124. AGRICULTURAL AND FOREST, AND OPEN SPACE
13	LANDS
14	§3750. STATUTORY PURPOSES
15	The statutory purpose of the Vermont Use Value Appraisal Program in
16	chapter 124 of this title is to preserve the working landscape and the rural
17	character of Vermont.
18	§ 3751. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

(dr req 21 - draft 1.2)

2/24/2021 - MOG - 11:10 AM

Commented [A1]: I think I might have offered this in committee and if so, wish I hadn't. Will need to find a better name throughout. I have some ideas but none is entirely satisfying. Will keep at it.

**Commented [A2]:** Addition of findings may help demonstrate the problem this solves:

Examples may address - Value of forests in mitigating climate change, sequestering and storing carbon, wildlife connectivity, etc.

Conditions that make old forests unique and valuable.

Lack of old forests

Value of permanent conservation

Commented [A3]: Need to choose term, Open Space, natural forestland or other. One that does not potentially conflict with or cause confusion regarding existing categories in UVA, e.g. open land. Perhaps we call this Conservation Lands and expand that category to include these new types of conservation lands

**Commented** [A4]: This is important – but it proposes to delete the word "productive below" which seems to create an internal conflict. If we are proposing to expand the statutory purpose, we should reflect that here.

Page 1 of 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 2 of 23

VT LEG #354000 v 1

The purpose of this subchapter is to encourage and assist the maintenance of Vermont's productive agricultural and-land, forestland, biodiversity; to encourage and assist in their conservation and preservation for future productive use and for the protection of natural ecological systems; to protect wildlife habitat and wildlife corridors; to prevent the accelerated conversion of these lands to more intensive use by the pressure of property taxation at values incompatible with the productive capacity of the land; to achieve more equitable taxation for undeveloped lands; to encourage and assist in the preservation and enhancement of Vermont's scenic natural resources; to assist in carbon sequestration; to prevent help reduce forest fragmentation; and to enable the citizens of Vermont to plan its orderly growth in the face of increasing development pressures in the interests of the public health, safety, and welfare.

## § 3752. DEFINITIONS

As used in this subchapter:

(1) "Agricultural land" means any land, exclusive of any housesite, in active use to grow hay or cultivated crops, pasture livestock, cultivate trees bearing edible fruit, or produce an annual maple product, and that is 25 acres or more in size, except as provided in this subdivision (1). Agricultural land shall include buffer zones as defined and required in the Agency of Agriculture, Food and Markets' Required Agricultural Practices rule adopted

Commented [A5]: It appears that a purpose of this bill is to change the purpose of the statute – removing the word "productive" could lead to a number of impacts to productive ag and forestland as well as many other statutory programs. If the intent is to create a new category, that can be achieved without deleting the purpose of this statute and program. Perhaps focusing on the ESTA category and expanding that is a better way to achieve that goal.

We suggest more clarity is needed on what the actual goal is and how this proposal achieves that goal. If the land is already conserved via a conservation easement then the conservation goal has been achieved. If the easement allows for forest management, including recognizing the special areas that management may not be appropriate or is tailored towards conservation of the ecological aspects (ESTA and conservation land) then the land could also already be enrolled in UVA. So, what does this proposal achieve?

## Commented [A6R5]: TAX - Agree 100%

Commented [A7]: Suggestion: amend to read – "protection of natural ecological systems and related functions and values"

Reasoning — Wildlife habitat and corridors, carbon sequestration, biodiversity are extremely important functions of natural ecological systems. Not added, but also incredibly important functions or values are carbon storage, clean water, pollinator habitat, RTE species, and much more. The prevention of fragmentation is a strategy that is inherent in the protection of natural systems, as is adaptation to climate stressors, prevention of bad logging, invasive plants, and more not mentioned here. More specificity runs the risk of creating an exclusive list or diminishing the perceived value of functions values or strategies that aren't mentioned but {

Commented [A8]: It is our understanding – and was included as a recommendation in the VT Forest Carbon Legislative Working Group report of January 2020 – that if the purpose of a program includes carbon sequestration, then we begin to run the risk of double counting the carbon sequestered on the parcel if it should enter the carbon market. This alone may not run the risk of limiting access to the market, but the next steps might. For instance, if the purpose is for carbon sequestration, how to we ensure the purpose is met? We likely add rules or standards that require it, and perhaps count it.

**Commented [A9]:** Need revised definition of development because additions create conflicts. See comments below.

Need definition for:

Permanent conservation easement Natural forestland Conserved

(dr req 21- – draft 1.2)	
2/24/2021 - MOG - 11·10	$\Delta \mathbf{M}$

Page 3 of 23

1	under 6 V.S.A. chapter 215. There shall be a presumption that the land is used
2	for agricultural purposes if:
3	(A) it is owned by a farmer and is part of the overall farm unit; or
4	(B) it is used by a farmer as part of his or her farming operation
5	under written lease for at least three years; or
6	(C) it has produced an annual gross income from the sale of farm
7	crops in one of two, or three of the five, calendar years preceding of at least:
8	(i) \$2,000.00 for parcels of up to 25 acres; and
9	(ii) \$75.00 per acre for each acre over 25, with the total income
10	required not to exceed \$5,000.00.
11	(iii) Exceptions to these income requirements may be made in
12	cases of orchard lands planted to fruit-producing trees, bushes, or vines that are
13	not yet of bearing age. As used in this section, the term "farm crops" also
14	includes animal fiber, cider, wine, and cheese, produced on the enrolled land or
15	on a housesite adjoining the enrolled land, from agricultural products grown on
16	the enrolled land.
17	***
18	(4) "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of Taxes.
19	(5) "Development" means, for the purposes of determining whether a
20	land use change tax is to be assessed under section 3757 of this chapter, the
21	construction of any building, road, or other structure, or any mining,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

excavation, or landfill activity. "Development" also means the subdivision of a parcel of land into two or more parcels, regardless of whether a change in use actually occurs, where one or more of the resulting parcels contains less than 25 acres each; but if subdivision is solely the result of a transfer to one or more of a spouse, ex-spouse in a divorce settlement, parent, grandparent, child, grandchild, niece, nephew, or sibling of the transferor, or to the surviving spouse of any of the foregoing, then "development" shall not apply to any portion of the newly created parcel or parcels that qualify for enrollment and for which, within 30 days following the transfer, each transferee or transferor applies for reenrollment in the use value appraisal program. "Development" also means the cutting of timber on property appraised under this chapter at use value in a manner contrary to a forest or conservation management plan as provided for in subsection 3755(b) of this title during the remaining term of the plan, or contrary to the minimum acceptable standards for forest management if the plan has expired; or a change in the parcel or use of the parcel in violation of the conservation management standards established by the Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation; or a violation of standards for conserved natural forestland. "Development" also means notification of the Director by the Secretary of Agriculture, Food and Markets under section 3756 of this title that the owner or operator of agricultural land or a farm building is violating the water quality requirements of 6 V.S.A. chapter 215 or is failing to VT LEG #354000 v 1

**Commented [A10]:** Need a definition to clarify how these would differ from the Minimum Standards.

(dr req 21- – draft 1.2)	
2/24/2021 - MOG - 11:10 AM	N

Page 5 of 23

VT LEG #354000 v.1

1	comply with the terms of an order issued under 6 V.S.A. chapter 215,
2	subchapter 10. The term "development" shall not include the construction,
3	reconstruction, structural alteration, relocation, or enlargement of any building,
4	road, or other structure for farming, logging, forestry, or conservation
5	purposes, but shall include the subsequent commencement of a use of that
6	building, road, or structure for other than farming, logging, or forestry
7	purposes.
8	* * *
9	(9) "Managed forestland" means:
10	(A) any land, exclusive of any house site, that is at least 25 acres in
11	size and that is under active long-term forest management for the purpose of
12	growing and harvesting repeated forest crops in accordance with minimum
13	acceptable standards for forest management. Such land may include eligible
14	ecologically significant treatment areas in accordance with minimum
15	acceptable standards for forest management and as approved by the
16	Commissioner; or
17	(B) any land, exclusive of any house site, that is:
18	(i) certified under 10 V.S.A. § 6306(b);
19	(ii) is owned by an organization that was certified by the
20	Commissioner of Taxes as a qualified organization as defined in 10 V.S.A. §
21	6301a and for at least five years preceding its certification was determined by

**Commented [A11]:** This element of the development definition would undermine the "prohibition" of roads buildings etc. required for eligibility in the new category and noted below.

 $\label{eq:commented} \textbf{Commented [A12R11]: } TAX-Agree.$ 

(dr req 21- – draft 1.2)	
2/24/2021 - MOG - 11:10 A	M

Page 6 of 23

VT LEG #354000 v.1

1	the internal revenue service to qualify as a Section 501(c)(3) organization
2	which is not a private foundation as defined in 26 U.S.C. § 509(a); and
3	(iii) is under active conservation management in accord with
4	standards established by the Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation.
5	(C) any land exclusive of any house site that:
6	(i) has been designated under the Agency of Natural Resources'
7	Vermont Conservation Design tool as an area of the State that is of the highest
8	priority for maintaining or enhancing ecological function;
9	(ii) is subject to permanent conservation easement requiring that
10	the land be maintained as conserved natural forestland:
11	(iii) the permanent conservation easement holder is held by a
12	qualified holder, as that term is defined in 10 V.S.A. § 821(3); and
13	(iv) is being managed according to standards establishing by the
14	Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation.
15	(10) "Owner" means the person who is the owner of any land or the
16	lessee under a perpetual lease as defined in subsection 3610(a) of this title,
17	provided the term of the lease is for a minimum of 999 years exclusive of
18	renewals. When enrolled land is mortgaged, the mortgagor shall be deemed
19	the owner of the land for the purposes of this subchapter until the mortgagee
20	takes possession, either by voluntary act of the mortgagor or foreclosure, after
21	which the mortgagee shall be deemed the owner.

Commented [A13]: It is interesting to include this under "managed forestland" since by design this category appears to be unmanaged. For clarity, perhaps the terms of this proposal change, the title of "managed forestland" changes or, it goes into a different category.

Commented [A14]: Any size parcel? Any condition? Could this be something less than an entire parcel? Evaluating a specific area to which this eligibility criteria applies would be administratively burdensome/unworkable. Other specific eligibility criteria could perhaps be reinforced by tying them back to findings that could be outlined in the beginning.

**Commented [A15]:** What if no management is not the best strategy to enhance ecological function? This is not an uncommon condition/circumstance.

**Commented [A16]:** Need better term and a definition.

Commented [A17]: Due to the variability of easement terms and how they can apply in spatially variable ways on the ground, using easements as an eligibility criteria is likely to be very complicated to administer, since it will require an acre-by-acre assessment of satisfaction of eligibility requirements. For this reason we suggest using on the ground conditions to determine eligibility of various management strategies as opposed to existence of an easement. This would be further accompanied by management (or perhaps ecological restoration) standards.

If easements are used as an eligibility criterion, it would likely require rulemaking to clearly define what easement terms are acceptable and not acceptable. Even then, it is not clear we could fully address the administrative challenges it could create.

**Commented [A18]:** Phrasing seems off. Maybe delete first instance of "holder?"

**Commented [A19]:** Are these the standards for conserved natural forestland? Unclear.

Commented [A20]: Because the easement eligibility significantly limits what is legally possible, this may significantly curtail the authority of the commissioner in developing standards. Suggest it should be treated like agriculture. Or perhaps there is responsibility on the conservation organization to provide ongoing certification.

Commented [A21R20]: Also, if an easement is a prerequisite to enrollment in this category, will standards need to define what can and cannot be contained in an easement? Who will review the easements to ensure they are acceptable? Again, if the purpose is to conserve these lands, an easement already achieves that purpose so why is there a need to have a new UVA category?

Commented [A22R20]: TAX- Agree

(dr req 21- – draft 1.2)	
2/24/2021 - MOG - 11:10 AM	N

Page 7 of 23

VT LEG #354000 v.1

1	(11) "Person" means any individual, firm, corporation, partnership, or
2	other form of organization or group of individuals.
3	(12) "Use value appraisal" means, with respect to land, the price per
4	acre which the land would command if it were required to remain henceforth in
5	agriculture or use, forest use, or conserved natural forestland use, as
6	determined in accordance with the terms and provisions of this subchapter.
7	With respect to farm buildings, "use value appraisal" means zero percent of
8	fair market value.
9	***
10	§ 3753. CURRENT USE ADVISORY BOARD; MEMBERS; CHAIR
11	(a) There is hereby established a Current Use Advisory Board.
12	(b) The membership of the Board shall consist of:
13	(1) The following persons or their designees:
14	(A) Commissioner of Taxes;
15	(B) Director of the Division of Property Valuation and Review;
16	(C) Secretary of Agriculture, Food and Markets;
17	(D) Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation;
18	(E) [Deleted.]
19	(F) [Repealed.]
20	(2) Eight Ten additional members to be appointed by the Governor with
21	the advice and consent of the Senate. Two of these members shall represent

**Commented [A23]:** Why a specific incentive for conserved natural forestland? Would this be the average market value of conserved forestland?

**Commented [A24R23]:** TAX - As discussed, this would likely require CUAB establishment of a new value; administrative rulemaking.

(dr req 21- – draft 1.2)	
2/24/2021 - MOG - 11·10 AN	V

17

18

19

20

value appraisal.

subchapter chapter only if:

Page 8 of 23

1 the private agricultural sector; two shall represent the private forestry sector; 2 two shall represent the interests and benefits of designated conserved natural forestland; one shall be experienced in agricultural and forestry property 3 4 appraisal and valuation techniques; one shall be a representative of local 5 government; one shall be a selectboard member; and one shall be a lister. Fifty-one percent or more of the Board membership shall be persons who do 6 7 not own enrolled land, and have no spouse, child, or parent who owns enrolled 8 land. These members shall be appointed for three-year terms, beginning 9 February first of the year in which the appointment is made, except that the 10 initial appointment of three of the members shall be for a two-year term. 11 Vacancies shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment for 12 the unexpired portion of the term vacated. \* \* \* 13 14 § 3755. ELIGIBILITY FOR USE VALUE APPRAISALS (a) Except as modified by subsection (b) of this section, any agricultural 15

land, managed forestland, and farm buildings that meet the criteria contained in

(b) Managed forestland shall be eligible for use value appraisal under this

this subchapter and in the rules adopted by the Board shall be eligible for use

Commented [A25]: First, it is not accurate to state that conservation interests are not represented currently on CUAB; FPR Commissioner already does represent that interest. But not opposed to adding. Perhaps conservation community instead? This representation is on behalf of an enrollment category that doesn't exist outside of UVA and is disproportionate with the land that exists in this condition. The other two representatives are of entire sectors independent of UVA.

Commented [A26R25]: TAX - Agree

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 9 of 23

(1) The land is subject to a forest management plan, or subject to a conservation management plan in the case of lands certified under 10 V.S.A. § 6306(b), or subject to a conserved natural forestland plan that is filed in the manner and form required by the Department of Forests, Parks and Recreation and that:

Commented [A27]: What is this expected to include?

Commented [A28]: This should be included in the conservation management plan that already exists – what is the difference?

- (A) Is signed by the owner of the parcel.
- (B) Complies with subdivision 3752(9) of this title.
- (C) Is approved by the Department of Forests, Parks and Recreation.
- (D) Provides for continued conservation management or forest crop production on the parcel for 10 years or provides for permanent conservation of forestland. An initial forest management plan or conservation management plan or conserved natural forestland plan must be filed with the Department of Forests, Parks and Recreation on or before October 1 and shall be effective for a 10-year period beginning the following April 1. Prior to expiration of a 10-year plan and on or before April 1 of the year in which the plan expires, the owner shall file a new conservation or forest management plan for the next succeeding 10 years to remain in the program.
- (E) The Department may approve a forest management plan that provides for the maintenance and enhancement of the tract's wildlife habitat where clearly consistent with timber production and with minimum acceptable

## Commented [A29]:

**Commented [A30R29]:** Definition? Permanent conservation of forestland does not in and of itself mean no management.

Structurally we don't think this needs to be added here -

**Commented [A31]:** How is this different from a conservation management plan?

(dr req 21- – draft 1.2)	
2/24/2021 - MOG - 11·10 AN	1

Page 10 of 23

Forests, Parks and Recreation.
(F) The Department, upon giving due consideration to resource
inventories submitted by applicants, may approve a conservation managem
plan, consistent with conservation management standards, so as to include

standards for forest management as established by the Commissioner of

inventories submitted by applicants, may approve a conservation management plan, consistent with conservation management standards, so as to include appropriate provisions designed to preserve: areas with special ecological values; fragile areas; rare or endangered species; significant habitat for wildlife; significant wetlands; outstanding resource waters; rare and irreplaceable natural areas; areas with significant historical value; public water supply protection areas; areas that provide public access to public waters; and open or natural areas located near population centers or historically frequented by the public. In approving a plan, the Department shall give due consideration to: the need for restricted public access where required to protect the fragile nature of the resource; public accessibility where restricted access is not required; facilitation of appropriate, traditional public usage; and opportunities for traditional or expanded use for educational purposes and for research.

(G) The Department shall approve a conserved natural forestland management plan if the plan:

(i) is consistent with management standards adopted by the Commissioner of Forests, Parks, and Recreation;

Page 11 of 23

(ii) permanently prohibits subdivision of the land and prohibits the
construction of any building, road, or other structure, or any mining,
excavation, or landfill activity on the land; and
(iii) ensures that natural resources on the land will remain

- management necessary to prevent the spread of fires or disease.

  (2) A management report of whatever activity has occurred, signed by the owner, has been filed with the Department of Tayes' Director of Property.
- the owner, has been filed with the Department of Taxes' Director of Property Valuation and Review on or before February 1 of the year following the year when the management activity occurred.
- (3) There has not been filed with the Director an adverse inspection report by the Department stating that the management of the tract is contrary to the forest management plan or, conservation management plan, or conserved natural forestland management plan or contrary to the minimum acceptable standards for forest or conservation management. The management activity report shall be on a form prescribed by the Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation in consultation with the Commissioner of Taxes and shall be signed by all the owners and shall contain the tax identification numbers of all the owners. All information contained within the management activity report shall be forwarded to the Department of Forests, Parks and Recreation, except for any tax identification number included in the report. If any owner satisfies the

**Commented [A32]:** How does this work if a timber harvest is possible? This would require revising the definitions.

**Commented [A33]:** How is this defined? Would this include the work necessary to restore a road that is eroding, e.g.?

**Commented [A34]:** The plan cannot permanently prohibit anything. Other mechanisms do that. An easement achieves this goal. Also, some of these things are required for management of the forest. It seems this is trying to achieve a category that prohibits or allows prohibition on management but calling it managed forestland – contradictory and confusing.

Commented [A35]: Not clear – is any timber harvest allowed, or only that which prevents spread of fires or disease? What about management that restores problems of past management – invasive plants, structural simplicity or improves the carbon sequestration, biodiversity, climate adaptation, carbon storage, wildlife habitat or corridor functions? What does substantially unaltered mean? Perhaps the main problem is we haven't identified the primary elements of what is intended to be allowed here so it is difficult to draft statutory language that is meaningful with respect to standards.

- Department that he or she was prevented by accident, mistake, or misfortune from filing an initial or revised management plan that is required to be filed on or before October 1, or a management plan update that is required to be filed on or before April 1 of the year in which the plan expires, or a management activity report that is required to be filed on or before February 1 of the year following the year when the management activity occurred, the owner may submit that management plan or management activity report at a later date; provided, however, no initial or revised management plan shall be received later than December 31, and no management plan update shall be received later than one year after April 1 of the year the plan expires, and no management activity report shall be received later than March 1.
- (c) The Department of Forests, Parks and Recreation shall periodically review the management plans and each year review the management activity reports that have been filed.
- (1) At intervals not to exceed 10 years, that Department shall inspect each parcel of managed forestland qualified for use value appraisal to verify that the terms of the management plan have been carried out in a timely fashion.
- (2) The Department shall have the ability to enter parcels of managed forestland for the purpose of inspections. The Department may bring any other staff from the Agency of Natural Resources that have the expertise to evaluate

VT LEG #354000 v.1

compliance with this chapter or staff that may be required to ensure the safety of the Department while conducting the inspections.

- (3) If that Department finds that the management of the tract is contrary to the conservation <u>plan</u>, <u>conserved natural forestland land</u>, or forest management plan, or contrary to the minimum acceptable standards for conservation or forest management, it shall file with the owner, the assessing officials, and the Director an adverse inspection report within 30 days after the conclusion of the inspection process.
- (d) After managed forestland has been removed from use value appraisal due to an adverse inspection report under subsection 3756(k) of this title, a new application for use value appraisal shall not be considered for a period of five years, and then the forest management plan shall be approved by the Department of Forests, Parks and Recreation only if a compliance report has been filed with the new forest management plan, certifying that appropriate measures have been taken to bring the parcel into compliance with minimum acceptable standards for forest or conservation management.
- (e) Any applicant for appraisal under this subchapter bears the burden of proof as to his or her qualification. Any documents submitted by an applicant as evidence of income shall be held in confidence by any person accepting or reviewing them pursuant to provisions of this subchapter, and shall not be

**Commented [A36]:** Walkthrough discussion – there is not 3756k, need to make technical correction.

Refers to 3756(i)

(dr req 21- – draft 1.2)
2/24/2021 - MOG - 11:10 AM

20

21

Page 14 of 23

1	made available for public examination, whether or not such person is subject to
2	the provisions of 1 V.S.A. § 317(c)(6).
3	***
4	(g) Any applicant for a use value appraisal or any beneficiary of a use value
5	appraisal must be in good standing with the Department of Taxes pursuant to
6	subsection 3113(g) of this title.
7	§ 3756. QUALIFICATION FOR USE VALUE APPRAISAL
8	(a)(1) The owner of eligible agricultural land, farm buildings, or managed
9	forestland, or open space land shall be entitled to have eligible property
10	appraised at its use value provided the owner shall have applied to the Director
11	on or before September 1 of the previous tax year, on a form approved by the
12	Board and provided by the Director. A farmer, whose application has been
13	accepted on or before December 31 by the Director of the Division of Property
14	Valuation and Review of the Department of Taxes for enrollment for the use
15	value program for the current tax year, shall be entitled to have eligible
16	property appraised at its use value, if he or she was prevented from applying on
17	or before September 1 of the previous year due to the severe illness of the
18	farmer.

(2) The owner of land enrolled in the program as managed forestland

under subdivision 3752(9)(A) for long term forest management or under

3752(9)(B) as managed forestland for conservation, may elect to enroll the

VT LEG #354000 v.1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 15 of 23

VT LEG #354000 v 1

land as conserved natural forestland under 3752(9)(C) provided that the land satisfies the eligibility requirements under this chapter and the Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation approves the transfer of the enrollment of the land on or before August 1 of the previous tax year.

(c) The Director shall notify the applicant no later than April 15 of his or her decision to classify or refusal to classify his or her property as eligible for use value appraisal by delivery of such notification to him or her in person or by mailing such notification to his or her last and usual place of abode. In the case of a refusal, the Director shall state the reasons therefor in the notification.

- (d) The assessing officials shall appraise qualifying agricultural and managed forestland and farm buildings at use value appraisal as defined in subdivision 3752(12) of this title. If the land to be appraised is a portion of a parcel, any portion not receiving a use value appraisal shall be valued at its fair market value as a stand-alone parcel, and, for the purposes of the payment under section 3760 of this chapter, the entire parcel shall be valued at its fair market value as other similar parcels in the municipality.
- (e) Once a use value appraisal has been applied for and granted under this section, such appraisal shall remain in effect for subsequent tax years pursuant to the provisions of subsection (f) of this section, and until the property concerned is transferred to another owner or is no longer eligible under

Commented [A37]: Need to align with existing systems. August 1st is not a current date used by the program. Not clear why it would be introduced in this context. Changes within existing enrollment categories currently occur btwn Ag and Forestry, if such a change is necessary in statute then it should apply to all transitions of enrollment categories.

Suggest this provision is not necessary. It could cause other problems - if there isn't a specific provision allowing the change in enrollment category from managed forest land to conservation land is it not allowed? Suggest removing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

provisions of section 3752 or 3755 of this chapter, or due to a change of use or as otherwise provided in section 3757 of this chapter. If enrolled property is transferred to another owner, the new owner shall be entitled to continue to have the eligible property appraised at its use value, provided the property remains eligible and provided the new owner shall elect the continuation of use value appraisal on the property transfer tax return at the time of transfer and, within 30 days after the property transfer tax return has been received by the municipality for recording, has applied to the Director and paid the fees described in this subsection. The grant of use value appraisals of agricultural land, forestland, conserved natural forestland, and farm buildings shall be recorded in the land records of the municipality by the clerk of the municipality. Applications shall include the fees specified in subdivision 1671(a)(6) or subsection 1671(c) of this title, and a fee of \$70.00 for deposit in a special fund established and managed pursuant to subchapter 5 of chapter 7 of this title. The Fund shall be available as payment for the fees of the clerk of the municipality and to offset the costs of administering the application and managing the program.

(f) Each year the Director shall determine whether previously classified property is still eligible for use value appraisal and whether the amount of the previous appraisal is still valid. If the Director determines that previously classified property is no longer eligible, or that the property has undergone a

- change in use such that the use change tax may be levied, in accordance with section 3757 of this chapter, or that the use value appraisal should be fixed at a different amount than the previous year, he or she shall thereafter notify the property owner of that determination by delivery of the notification to him or her in person or by mailing such notification to his or her last and usual place of abode.
- (g) The Director shall execute such other forms and the board shall adopt such other procedures and regulations rules, as are needed to assure a fair opportunity for owners to qualify under this subchapter and to assure compliance with the provisions of this chapter.
- (h) By March 15, the Director shall mail to each municipality a list of property in the municipality which that is to be taxed based on its use value appraisal. The list shall include the owners' names, a grand list number or description of each parcel of land to be appraised at use value, the acreage to be taxed on the basis of use value, the use values to be used for land, and the number and type of farm buildings to be appraised by the assessing officials at use value. The assessing officials shall determine the listed value of the land to be taxed at use value and its estimated fair market value, and fill in these values and the difference between them on the form. This form shall be used by the Treasurer or the collector of current taxes to make up tax bills such that the owner is billed only for taxes due on his or her property not enrolled in the

(dr req	21	- draft	1.2	2)	
2/24/20	21 -	MOG	_ 1	1.10	AM

Page 18 of 23

VT LEG #354000 v 1

1 program, plus taxes due on the use value of property enrolled in the program.

- 2 The assessing officials shall submit the completed form to the Director by July
- 3 5.

7

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 4 (i)(1) After providing 30 days' notice to the owner, the Director shall
  5 remove from use value appraisal an entire parcel of managed forestland and
- 6 notify the owner when the Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation has

not received a required management activity report or has received an adverse

- 8 inspection report, unless the lack of conformance consists solely of the failure
- 1 1 Y

to make prescribed planned cutting. In that case, the Director may delay

- 10 removal from use value appraisal for a period of one year at a time to allow
- 11 time to bring the parcel into conformance with the plan.

12 \*\*\*

## § 3757. LAND USE CHANGE TAX

(a) Land which that has been classified as agricultural land or managed forestland pursuant to this chapter shall be subject to a land use change tax upon the development of that land, as defined in section 3752 of this chapter. The tax shall be at the rate of 10 percent of the full fair market value of the changed land determined without regard to the use value appraisal. If changed land is a portion of a parcel, the fair market value of the changed land shall be the fair market value of the changed land as a separate parcel, divided by the common level of appraisal. Such The fair market value shall be determined as

Commented [A38]: Walkthrough discussion – do inspections need to occur and at what frequency? We suggest not, in fact, like agricultural land, might suggest it is not necessary at all. Then again, Inspections may not be necessary if no management is allowed, but in that case, if management does occur, is it a violation? We need to determine the specifics for this category before we address what is a violation or whether inspections are required.

Page 19 of 23

of the date the land is no longer eligible for use value appraisal. This tax shall
be in addition to the annual property tax imposed upon such property. Nothing
in this section shall be construed to require payment of an additional land use
change tax upon the subsequent development of the same land, nor shall it be
construed to require payment of a land use change tax merely because
previously eligible land becomes ineligible, provided no development of the
land has occurred.

value appraisal shall notify the Director, who shall in turn notify the local assessing official. In the alternative, if the Director determines that development has occurred, the Director shall notify the local assessing official of his or her determination. Thereafter, land which has been withdrawn or developed shall be appraised and listed at its full fair market value in accordance with the provisions of chapter 121 of this title and subsection 3756(d) of this title, according to the appraisal model and land schedule of the municipality.

(c) For the purposes of the land use change tax, the determination of the fair market value of the land shall be made by the local assessing officials in accordance with the provisions of subsection (b) of this section and divided by the municipality's most recent common level of appraisal as determined by the Director. The determination shall be made within 30 days after the Director

**Commented [A39]:** Walkthrough question: should landowners have the ability to withdraw? We suggest yes.

- notifies the local assessing officials of the date that the owner has petitioned for withdrawal from use value appraisal or that the Director or local assessing official has determined that development has occurred. The local assessing officials shall notify the Director and the owner of their determination, and the provisions for appeal relating to property tax assessments in chapter 131 of this title shall apply.
- (d) The land use change tax shall be due and payable by the owner 30 days after the tax notice is mailed to the taxpayer. The tax shall be paid to the Commissioner who shall remit to the municipality the lesser of one-half the tax paid or \$2,000.00. The Director shall deposit three-quarters of the remainder of the tax paid in the Education Fund, and one-quarter of the remainder of the tax paid in the General Fund. The Commissioner shall issue a form to the assessing officials which-that shall provide for a description of the land developed, the amount of tax payable, and the fair market value of the land at the time of development or withdrawal from use value appraisal. The owner shall fill out the form and shall sign it under the penalty of perjury. After receipt of the completed and signed form, the Commissioner shall furnish the owner with one copy, shall retain one copy, and shall forward one copy to the local assessing officials, one copy to the register of deeds of the municipality in which the land is located, and one copy to the Secretary of Agriculture,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Food and Markets if the land is agricultural land and in all other cases to the
Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation.

- (e) The owner of any classified land receiving use value appraisal under this subchapter shall immediately notify the Director, who in turn shall notify the local assessing officials and the Secretary of Agriculture, Food and Markets if the land is agricultural land, and in all other cases the Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation, of:
- (1) The development of the land, as defined in section 3752 of this chapter.
- (2) Any change or discontinuance of use of the classified land so that it is no longer eligible for use value appraisal or is eligible for a different use value appraisal under this subchapter.
- (3) Any transfer of ownership. A transfer of ownership, alone, will not affect eligibility of the parcel, and no new maps will be required solely because of a transfer, but failure to provide maps, a new application, or transfer information to the Division of Property Valuation and Review within 30 days of a request being sent by certified mail by the Director will result in removal of the parcel from the program.

19 \*\*

(i) Nothing in this section shall be construed as permitting an owner to engage in the development of land in violation of any conservation restriction in effect on said land.

§ 3758. APPEALS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

- (a) Whenever the Director denies in whole or in part any application for classification as agricultural land or, managed forestland or, farm buildings, or grants a different classification than that applied for, or the Director or assessing officials fix a use value appraisal or determine that previously classified property is no longer eligible or that the property has undergone a change in use, the aggrieved owner may appeal the decision of the Director to the Commissioner within 30 days of the decision, and from there to Superior Court in the county in which the property is located.
- (b) Any owner who is aggrieved by the determination of the fair market value of classified land for the purpose of computing the land use change tax may appeal in the same manner as an appeal of a grand list valuation.

\* \* \* 17

> (d) Any owner who is aggrieved by a decision of the Department of Forests, Parks and Recreation concerning the filing of an adverse inspection report, a denial of approval of a management plan may appeal to the Commissioner of Forests, Parks and Recreation within 60 days of the filing of

VT LEG #354000 v 1

Page 23 of 23

the adverse inspection report, the decision to deny approval, or the certification
to the Director. An appeal of this decision of the Commissioner may be taken
to the Superior Court in the same manner and under the same procedures as an
appeal from a decision of a Board of Civil Authority, as set forth in chapter
131, subchapter 2 of this title.

at.

Sec. 2. EFFECTIVE DATE

6

7

8

This act shall take effect on ?

**Commented [A40]:** This would likely go in to effect one year or more after passage. Rulemaking, standards, applications, etc would all need to be changed to accommodate this.

**Commented [A41R40]:** TAX - Agree. Coincidentally we also will have our new Grand List software in place which would provide us greater capacity to respond to these changes.